

Appl. No. : 10/821,531
Filed : April 9, 2004

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed September 02, 2009 the Examiner maintained the rejection of Claims 1-27. The Applicants thank the Examiner and the Examiner's supervisor for the time and input. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments set forth above. As way of background, this Response summarizes the telephone call dated October 29, 2009 between the Examiner and the undersigned. During the call the both parties agreed that the claims are directed to allowable subject matter and the Examiner requested clarifying limitations to the independent claims to make clear that switching does not occur after frequency modification. The Hjipieris references has switch 46 after frequency modifiers 41,43. Kapetanik has switch 106 after frequency modifiers 98, 100,102. Claim 1 has been amended to overcome the section 112 objection.

As discussed during the telephone call, the undersigned requests that the Examiner consider these amendments and call the undersigned if necessary to move the application to allowance.

SUMMARY

Applicants assert that Claims 1-27 are in a condition for allowance and respectfully requests a notice as to the same. If any matters remain outstanding, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone.

Dated: 10/30/09

Respectfully submitted,
By: C. Miller
Chad W. Miller
Registration No. 44,943
Weide & Miller, Ltd.
7251 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 530
Las Vegas, NV 89128
(702)-382-4804 (Pacific Time)